## Case 5:15-cr-00481-EJD Document 176 Filed 12/21/16 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 15 - 481 ELD
Plaintiff, ) v. )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT  Non 12/21 / 16, 2016, the Court excludes time find the court ends of justify of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 and and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
	leny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	inreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED: 121-16	JACQUILLINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:  Attorney for Defendant  GNACIO CAMARENA	Assistant United States Attorney